

PERFORMANCE TRENDS	OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Average	Average	N/A
2002	Average	Unsatisfactory	N/A
2003 2004	Average	Average	No

### PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS

Our School Elementary Schools with Students like Ours



### **Definition of Critical Terms**

Advanced

Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations

Proficient

Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations

Basic

Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level

Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

	Teachers	Students	Parents
Number of surveys returned	66	126	97
Percent satisfied with learning environment	78.8%	89.3%	90.5%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	86.2%	88.9%	83.9%
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	56.3%	82.5%	90.5%

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS

Andrews Elementary 2201008

	× C-							
PACT PERFORMANCE	E BY GR	/.					7.	cient and St.
	/	M 151 ting	Tested old	allow Basic	/.c. /	Proficient of	Advanced of Profi	cient and cied
	olly	ie, Les	rester/	OWL	Basic ol	Profit	Advar aref	cient varced
	Emo	34/ 0/	0/08				0/0/	K 4
All atrial and a			Er	igiisii/Lai				
All students Gender	465	99.6	30.3	50.6	18.9	0.2	19.1	17.6
Male	231	99.1	32.2	50.2	17.5	N/A	17.5	17.6
Female	234	100.0	28.4	50.9	20.2	0.5	20.6	17.6
Racial/Ethnic Group	204	100.0	20.1	00.0	20.2	0.0	20.0	17.0
White	217	99.5	17.4	54.7	27.9	N/A	27.9	17.6
African-American	241	99.6	41.8	46.7	11.1	0.4	11.6	17.6
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Hispanic	7	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Disability Status								
Not disabled	406	99.5	27.6	50.9	21.1	0.3	21.4	17.6
Disabled	59	100.0	46.7	48.3	5.0	N/A	5.0	17.6
Migrant Status								
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-migrant	465	99.6	30.3	50.6	18.9	0.2	19.1	17.6
English Proficiency								
Limited English proficient	4	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-limited English proficient	461	99.6	30.0	50.7	19.0	0.2	19.2	17.6
Socio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals	362	99.4	32.9	52.1	15.0	N/A	15.0	17.6
Full-pay meals	103	100.0	21.1	45.3	32.6	1.1	33.7	17.6
					4.			
All students	405	00.0	00.0		matics	F.4	40.0	45.5
Gender	465	99.8	26.3	53.8	14.7	5.1	19.8	15.5
Male	224	99.6	27.5	515	13.3	4.7	18.0	15.5
Female	231	100.0	25.2	54.5 53.2	16.1	5.5	21.6	15.5 15.5
Racial/Ethnic Group	234	100.0	20.2	JJ.Z	10.1	ა.ა	21.0	10.0
White	217	100.0	13.4	57.4	20.3	8.9	29.2	15.5
African-American	241	99.6	37.9	50.9	9.4	1.8	11.2	15.5
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Hispanic	7	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Disability Status	14//3	0.0	. 1// 1	. 1// 3	. 1// 1	. ,,, ,	. 1// 1	.0.0
Not disabled	406	100.0	22.5	55.0	16.5	6.0	22.5	15.5
Disabled	59	98.3	50.0	46.7	3.3	N/A	3.3	15.5
Migrant Status								
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non-migrant	465	99.8	26.3	53.8	14.7	5.1	19.8	15.5
English Proficiency								
Limited English proficient	4	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non-limited English proficient	461	99.8	26.2	54.1	14.5	5.2	19.7	15.5
Socio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals	362	100.0	29.0	54.8	12.3	3.9	16.2	15.5
Full nov mode	100	000	400	Γ O F	22.2		20.0	1 455

50.5

Full-pay meals

## PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL

		alle	61,462	rester al Be	ONL	Basil	Profile	Advar ole Profit
		Enrolle	and less	lester ologi	0/0	0/0	0/0	Advar olo Profit
			/		/Langua	ge Arts		
	Grade 3	142	N/A	31.9	45.4	22.0	0.7	22.7
	Grade 4	137	N/A	35.0	49.6	15.3	N/A	15.3
2002	Grade 5	176	N/A	42.0	48.9	9.2	N/A	9.2
20	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
•	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
_	0 1 - 0	470	100.0	00.0	45.7	00.0	0.0	00.5
	Grade 3	173	100.0	23.8	45.7	29.9	0.6	30.5
	Grade 4	153	99.3	24.8	58.9	16.3	N/A	16.3
2003	Grade 5	139	99.3	45.2	47.6	7.3	N/A	7.3
20	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

				Ma	athematio	cs		
	Grade 3	142	N/A	39.0	46.8	12.1	2.1	14.2
	Grade 4	137	N/A	44.5	40.9	9.5	5.1	14.6
2002	Grade 5	176	N/A	48.3	37.9	9.2	4.6	13.8
20	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
•	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 3	173	99.4	26.2	53.0	14.6	6.1	20.7
	Grade 4	153	100.0	20.6	56.0	17.0	6.4	23.4
2003	Grade 5	139	100.0	33.1	52.4	12.1	2.4	14.5
2	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

SCHOOL PROFILE	Our School	Change from	Elementary Schools with	Median Elementary
·	our comoci	Last Year	Students Like Ours	School
Students (n= 820)				
First graders who attended full-day kindergarten	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Retention rate	0.2%	Down from 6.9%	3.4%	2.4%
Attendance rate Meeting grade 1 and 2 readiness standards	94.5%	Down from 95.5%	95.6%	95.9%
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Eligible for gifted and talented On academic plans	13.9%	Up from 11.4%	9.5%	13.2%
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
On academic probation With disabilities other than speech	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	6.8%	Up from 5.0%	9.7%	8.0%
Older than usual for grade	2.8%	Down from 3.4%	2.0%	1.1%
Suspended or expelled	0.0%	No change	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n= 66)				
Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers	53.0%	Up from 46.4%	43.2%	50.0%
	89.4%	Up from 84.1%	84.6%	85.3%
Highly qualified teachers	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Teachers returning from previous year	88.7%	Up from 86.0%	84.9%	86.2%
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	95.0%	Up from 93.7%	94.9%	95.3%
	\$41,526	Up 5.8%	\$39,347	\$39,909
Prof. development days/teacher	7.8 days	Down from 12.4 days	12.1 days	11.4 days
School				
Principal's years at school	4.0	Up from 3.0	3.0	4.0
Student-teacher ratio	15.1 to 1	Down from 15.6 to 1	18.5 to 1	18.9 to 1
Prime instructional time Dollars spent per pupil*	87.8%	Up from 87.2%	89.5%	89.7%
	\$10,558	Up 76.5%	\$6,067	\$5,892
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	65.0%	No change	66.2%	66.6%
	Good	No change	Good	Good
Parents attending conferences	100.0%	Up from 99.4%	99.0%	99.0%
SACS accreditation	yes	N/A	yes	yes
			,	,

<sup>\*</sup> Prior year audited financial data are reported.

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

# Abbreviations for Missing Data

Andrews Elementary

2201008

### REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Andrews Elementary School began the 2002-2003 school year with a strong emphasis on reading and writing being integrated into all courses and dedicating time specifically for those skills throughout the day. Another skill that continues to gain importance at AES is the area of technology use by teachers and students. Combining the reading and writing with research on the web has gone far in developing the academic skills of our students.

Use of money from the South Carolina READS grant has dramatically increased classroom libraries and has made books available, accessible and in high demand by the students. Students enjoy the vast range of book levels and will read more when given the materials and time. The Accelerated Reader program spurred students to want to read to earn as many points as possible in order to receive AR store "bucks", treats and special recognition. Library circulation of these books continues to grow and surpass previous years.

A family literacy program that is also part of the SC READS grant is affecting numerous families in positive ways. We hope this program will improve future students' preparedness for school by increasing parental understanding of child growth and development and advancing a literacy environment at home.

Andrews Elementary will focus on building on the advancements made in these areas as we look to the next school year.

Woody Monahan Principal

### DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

### DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.